

## Section 17 – Emergency Management

Sub-Topic	Plan Reference	Feedback
Disaster Mitigation	17.7	One thing the City could do that doesn't cost much is lead education initiatives on how to strengthen house foundations, and perhaps create new funding for upgrades beyond protected heritage property. We could also encourage homeowners to take advantage of Federal programs to upgrade existing property.
	17.7	Perhaps the City could provide property tax relaxations for seismic upgrades, beyond the heritage incentives?
	17.10	Policy should focus directly on physical resiliency – consider zoning concessions for development that achieves post-seismic standards?
	17.12	Achieving post-seismic standards and questioning if the City already requires this; Post-seismic standards are a level higher than that required by the building code; Life safety is to building code standards; post-seismic means the building is operational after a seismic event.
	17.12	Consider a collection system for rainwater for use when our other sources of water diminish further. Consider the creation of a seismically safe rainwater storage system.
Emergency Preparedness	17.14	Developing a regional, coordinated response; this being a governance issue; this requiring a change in legislation.
	17.14	The planning and delivery of a regional emergency plan with the aid of senior levels of governments; Having an open discussion with senior levels of government and a regional plan requiring an investment; challenges around coordinating a regional effort. Resistance to mutual aid due to concerns over local governments' autonomy and resources.
	17.14	Rewrite this policy to make it more emphatic, stronger.
Emergency Response	17.18	Upgrading the fire hall and budget considerations. Staff will be reporting to Council on this soon.
Post-Disaster Recovery	17.19-17.20	I'm frankly surprised that this is not yet in place. Things like this need to be instated or upgraded quickly.
	17.19-17.20	Please upgrade the Camosun College Young building. I don't want to be crushed to death for trying to get an education in this city.
		5 pillars approach in CWB is defined in Glossary; 4 pillars for emergency management not in Glossary.

Sub-Topic	Plan Reference	Feedback
General - Other		Planning should focus on future land conditions – not existing built environment.
		S.17 needs to provide strategic direction for built environment for addressing seismic hazards.
		Mapping hazard areas and the location of at risk buildings; concerns related to possible development in at risk areas; Buildings of a certain scale require geotechnical work; concerns related to making a statement in an area of provincial jurisdiction; a study to identify hazard areas.
		We can require geotechnical information re: seismic conditions under DIA policies.
		Consideration of a development regulation program to encourage people to respond to seismic upgrades for zoning concessions.
		Building code requirements in Los Angeles, California responding to that area's experience with earthquakes and gaining knowledge from their processes.
		Land use planning for at risk areas and other jurisdictions using this in their emergency planning; Some of this type of planning work has happened in Japan.
		Stronger language to require that the seismic standards are met.
		Approaching the many initiatives in a systemic way for all levels of government, starting with the more modest steps, such as bolting houses to its foundation and the City's support and education on this initiative.
		Food security in an emergency: do we have a plan (i.e. logistic)?
	Map 14 p. 114	Shows high liquefaction hazard but what is the response to this hazard?; the notion of enhanced building regulations; Future buildings limited by the BC Building Code; the challenge of existing built infrastructure needing seismic upgrading;
	Map 14 p. 114	Need to map the risk of tsunamis.